

1949.

(No. 8.)

TASMANIA

THE PARLIAMENT OF TASMANIA

REPORT  
ON PARLIAMENTARY ELECTIONS

THE LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

1948

and

By-elections—1947 to 1948

THE HOUSE OF ASSEMBLY


General Election, 21st August, 1948

and

By-election—1948

By EDWARD PARKES, C.M.G., M.V.O., I.S.O., State Chief Electoral Officer

*Presented to both Houses of Parliament by His Excellency's Command*



TASMANIA

H. H. PIMBLETT, GOVERNMENT PRINTER, TASMANIA

1949

57982

be made and in fact is at times made. Returning Officers affirm that they have not known any instance of a fraudulent signature nor has the offence of personation become known to the authorities.

What goes on in some cases between the authorised witness and the voter when the latter is marking his ballot paper, raises a different question. The electoral law provides that (under certain conditions) "... The authorised witness shall mark the vote on the ballot paper ...". If on occasion the authorised witness exceeds his duty and improperly influences the elector, the Department can devise no means of preventing that malpractice.

There can be little doubt that some canvassers and perhaps some authorised witnesses go about their activities with excessive zeal. At the 1948 election strong protests were made about canvassers or a few of them being a source of worry to patients in hospitals; they thrust applications before unfortunate people who are closer to the next world than to this; in fact, on occasion, a postal vote certificate and ballot paper issued to an elector promptly after the receipt of an application comes back to the Returning Officer marked "elector deceased".

It is all but impossible to determine those categories of sick or infirm people who genuinely wish to vote by post and to separate those who are in no condition to be bothered with mundane affairs. There is ground for the belief that many postal voters are persuaded to apply for a postal vote because otherwise "they would be fined by the Department". Again, it is difficult to refute talk of that imaginary consequence of failure to vote.

This problem is substantially one of administration rather than one of law or regulation. To ban the activities of canvassers at hospitals and public institutions caring for sick and infirm people would remedy what has become something of an evil but it would also have the effect of depriving many people of a vote to which they are entitled and which they are able to exercise. In an attempt to restrict the present bad practice, the Department would feel assured of the support of party organisations (and this would of course include committees set up by Independent candidates) and endeavours towards this end will be made at future elections.

Probably due to the limited numbers of electors of the Legislative Council Divisions, no complaints have reached the Department about postal voting at elections.

There appears to be little support for a proposal that Parliament might be asked to consider whether or not the postal voting provisions of the Electoral Act should be repealed and be done with it. However, for what it is worth, the following table shows that there is not much disparity in what might be termed the postal voting strength of the parties:—

#### POSTAL VOTING.

	Total Postal Votes Admitted	To Labour Group	To Liberal Group	To All Other Candidates	
Bass ... ..	676	401	259	...	1941 Election
Darwin ... ..	528	267	254	...	
Denison ... ..	1066	621	420	7	
Franklin... ..	758	400	329	16	
Wilmot ... ..	465	229	232	...	
Totals ... ..	3493	1918	1494	23	
Bass ... ..	984	569	289	98	1946 Election
Darwin ... ..	741	316	376	25	
Denison ... ..	1358	614	399	312	
Franklin... ..	1224	426	632	107	
Wilmot ... ..	713	323	250	112	
Totals ... ..	5020	2248	1940	649	
Bass ... ..	1064	572	477	...	1948 Election
Darwin ... ..	795	269	380	74	
Denison ... ..	1276	569	359	329	
Franklin... ..	973	346	456	149	
Wilmot ... ..	695	312	352	22	
Totals ... ..	4743	2068	2024	574	

This is the first occasion upon which a review of postal voting practice has been made to Parliament in the Electoral Report. Electoral officials are conscious of their duty to protect those categories of postal voters vulnerable to the importunate canvasser, and the administration will in future study this aspect of the election proceedings more closely than has been the case hitherto. In particular, it will be made known at the appropriate time that no penalty will lie upon those electors eligible to claim a postal vote but deciding not to do so. In short, any reason applicable to a postal vote not claimed will be regarded as a valid reason for failure to vote.

There were no special features of the General Election to which it would be necessary to invite attention in this report.

I record appreciation of the services of the staff of the State Electoral Office who carried out their duties, under the supervision of Mr. H. J. Corrigan, with credit to themselves and to the Department. The Divisional Returning Officers of the Council, and of the Assembly, have rendered good and efficient service to the central administration.

The Deputy Statistician (Mr. H. J. Exley) was kind enough to arrange for the compilation of the statistical tables and analyses appended to this report. Without these tables, the mere recording of the election results would be of little significance.

E. PARKES,

Chief Electoral Officer.

#### Appendix A.

##### LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL ENROLMENT.

Number of electors (in various categories) enrolled as at 30th June, 1948.

	Owners.			Occupiers.			R.S. Personnel.			All other Enrolments.		
	M.	F.	Total.	M.	F.	Total.	M.	F.	Total.	M.	F.	Total.
1.—Buckingham ..	2,390	674	3,064	944	198	1,142	124	11	135	6	3	9
Cornwall .....	4,078	1,210	5,288	998	488	1,486	280	10	290	56	22	78
Derwent .....	2,160	1,074	3,234	470	67	537	133	—	133	6	3	9
Gordon .....	1,201	600	1,801	220	6	226	143	—	143	16	2	18
Hobart .....	4,802	1,002	5,804	1,319	590	1,909	472	9	481	53	23	76
Huon .....	3,275	1,886	5,161	275	54	329	450	8	458	38	12	50
Launceston .....	2,248	554	2,802	423	977	1,400	159	1	160	31	12	43
Macquarie .....	2,110	1,070	3,180	254	21	275	211	8	219	24	2	26
Meander .....	2,735	1,505	4,240	439	32	471	350	6	356	16	2	18
Mersey .....	2,584	1,045	3,629	525	100	625	220	9	229	34	5	39
Monmouth .....	1,547	897	2,444	169	16	185	207	4	211	37	21	58
Newdegate .....	4,686	1,365	6,051	1,204	443	1,647	413	9	422	63	27	90
Pembroke .....	2,706	1,304	4,010	370	61	431	329	20	349	14	7	21
Queenborough ..	4,436	1,267	5,703	1,021	461	1,482	511	31	542	61	25	86
Russell .....	2,886	1,549	4,435	537	51	588	209	—	209	8	8	16
South Esk .....	2,368	1,239	3,607	296	87	383	166	1	167	12	—	12
Tamar .....	1,959	1,103	3,062	130	25	155	157	2	159	23	24	47
West Devon .....	3,019	1,171	4,190	810	118	928	409	21	430	20	3	23
Westmorland .....	4,183	1,895	6,078	933	342	1,275	189	5	194	16	11	27
Totals...	54,718	22,359	77,077	11,656	3,436	15,092	5,132	155	5,287	534	212	746

Appendix B.

PART XI. OF THE ELECTORAL ACT, 1907.  
BY-ELECTION—DIVISION OF FRANKLIN.  
(Death of Hon. E. Brooker.)  
Nominations due 1st July, 1948.

Count.	Candidates.							Exhaust.	Loss	Total	Remarks.	
	Brooker	Brown J. H.	Dicker	Ham	Hope	Geard	Farley					
1	4127	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...		
2	—4127	1712	626	805	839	41	92	69	3	4127	Brooker excluded	
...	...	1712	626	805	839	41	92	69	3	4127		
3	...	7	1	2	7	...	...	39	12	8	...	Farley excluded
...	...	1719	627	807	846	41	...	81	6	4127		
4	...	13	...	2	8	—41	...	21	2	...	Geard excluded	
...	...	1732	627	809	840	...	...	102	8	4127		
5	...	906	—627	158	120	...	...	41	2	...	Dicker excluded	
...	...	2098	...	967	969	...	...	143	10	4127	J. H. Brown elected	

(NOTE.—For this Appendix the term "Nominations" means "Consents" due from eligible candidates.)

Appendix C.

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL ELECTIONS.  
BY-ELECTION—DIVISION OF GORDON, 1947.  
(Death of Hon. J. McDonald.)

Issue of Writ ..... 4th November, 1947  
 Nominations ..... 12th November, 1947  
 Poll ..... 22nd November, 1947  
 Return of Writ ..... 28th November, 1947

Electors enrolled, 1297. Electors who voted, 825. Informal votes, 6. Percentage of electors who voted, 59.44 per cent. Absolute majority, 410.

Count	Candidates.			Total.	Informal.	Remarks.
	Aylett	D'Alton	Faulk			
1	66	489	264	819	6	Hon. T. G. D'Alton elected.

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL ELECTIONS, 1948.  
DIVISIONS OF CORNWALL, HUON, AND MERSEY.

Issue of Writs ..... 2nd April, 1948  
 Nominations ..... 15th April, 1948  
 Poll ..... 8th May, 1948  
 Return of Writs ..... 12th May, 1948

DIVISION OF CORNWALL.  
 Electors enrolled, 3947. Electors who voted, 3303. Informal votes, 45. Percentage of electors who voted, 83.68 per cent. Absolute majority, 1630. Retiring Member Hon. W. Robinson.

Count.	Candidates.				Total	Informal.	Remarks.
	McIntyre	Quintal	Robinson	Thyne			
1	1158	687	715	698	3258	45	Quintal excluded.
2	909	—687	294	84	...	...	
...	1467	...	1009	782	3258	...	
3	337	...	361	—698	...	...	Thyne's Primaries Distributed
...	1804	...	1370	84	3258	...	Hon. M. E. McIntyre elected.

Electors of R.

Count.

Elec

Co

**DIVISION OF HUON.**

Electors enrolled, 3174. Electors who voted, 2844. Informal votes, 16. Percentage of electors who voted, 89.6. Absolute majority, 1415. Retiring Member: Hon. R. L. Worsley.

Count.	Candidates.			Total.	Informal.	Remarks.
	Brown	McPartlan	Worsley			
1	1493	406	929	2828	16	Hon. R. H. Brown elected

**DIVISION OF MERSEY.**

Electors enrolled, 2709. Electors who voted, 1950. Informal votes, 33. Percentage of electors who voted, 71.98. Absolute majority, 959. Retiring Member: Hon. A. Lillico.

Count.	Candidates.		Total.	Informal.	Remarks.
	Lillico	O'Shea			
1	1518	404	1917	33	Hon. A. Lillico elected

**BY-ELECTION—DIVISION OF QUEENBOROUGH.**

(Death of Hon. W. H. Strutt.)

Issue of Writ ..... 12th April, 1948  
 Nominations ..... 26th April, 1948  
 Poll ..... 8th May, 1948  
 Return of Writ ..... 12th May, 1948

Electors enrolled, 4292. Electors who voted, 3486. Informal votes, 72. Percentage of electors who voted, 80.05 per cent. Absolute majority, 1683.

Count.	Candidates.			Total.	Informal.	Remarks.
	Baker	Lewis	Miller			
1	1424	824	1116	3364	72	
2	423	—824	402	...	...	Lewis excluded.
...	1846	...	1518	3364	...	Hon. H. S. Baker elected.

BY-ELECTION—DIVISION OF BUCKINGHAM.  
(Resignation of Hon. W. G. Wedd.)

Issue of Writ ..... 10th September, 1948  
Nominations ..... 23rd September, 1948  
Poll ..... 2nd October, 1948  
Return of Writ ..... 5th October, 1948

Electors enrolled, 3494. Electors who voted, 2866. Informal votes, 44. Percentage of electors who voted, 82.02 per cent. Absolute majority, 1412.

Count.	Candidates.				Total.	Informal.	Remarks.
	Connolly	Dickenson	Harvey	Viney			
1	1044	950	286	542	2822	44	Harvey excluded.
2	82	218	-286	36	...	...	
...	1076	1168	...	578	2822	...	Viney excluded.
3	462	116	...	-578	...	...	
...	1538	1284	...	...	2822	...	Hon. J. B. Connolly elected.

BY-ELECTION—DIVISION OF CORNWALL.  
(Death of Hon. M. E. McIntyre.)

Issue of Writ ..... 24th September, 1948  
Nominations ..... 7th October, 1948  
Poll ..... 16th October, 1948  
Return of Writ ..... 18th October, 1948

Electors enrolled, 4146. Electors who voted, 3401. Informal votes, 38. Percentage of electors who voted, 82.03 per cent. Absolute majority, 1682.

Count.	Candidates.			Total.	Informal.	Remarks.
	Atkins	Record	Robinson			
1	1016	1567	780	3363	38	Robinson excluded.
2	126	654	-780	...	...	
...	1142	2221	...	3363	...	Hon. E. G. Record elected.

TAF

Ba  
Da  
De  
Fr  
W

## Appendix D.

STATISTICAL TABLES—GENERAL ELECTION, 21st AUGUST, 1948.  
(WITH COMPARISONS WITH PREVIOUS ELECTIONS).TABLE 1.—*Electors, Voters, and Informal Votes—General Election, 21st August, 1948.*

	Estimated Population, 30th June, 1948.	Electors on Roll.		Votes Recorded.		Informal Votes.		Valid Votes Recorded.
		Number.	Per 1000 of Population.	Number.	Percentage on Electors.	Number.	Percentage on Votes Recorded.	
Bass.....	48,210	30,764	638	27,619	89·8	888	3·21	26,731
Darwin.....	57,610	32,416	563	30,654	94·6	1882	4·51	29,272
Denison.....	49,000	32,207	657	29,020	90·1	1304	4·49	27,716
Franklin.....	62,420	36,805	590	34,557	93·9	1415	4·09	33,142
Wilmot.....	48,760	28,896	592	26,738	92·5	877	3·28	25,861
Total.....	266,000	161,088	606	148,588	92·2	5866	3·95	142,722

TABLE 2.—*Comparative Summary—State General Elections Since 1931.*

	21st Aug., 1948.	23rd Nov., 1946.	13th Dec., 1941.	20th Feb., 1937.	9th June, 1934.	9th May, 1931.
Estimated Population .....	266,000	259,500	241,170	233,191	229,161	224,800
Electors on Roll—						
Male.....	79,544	78,420	69,058	66,223	63,841	59,024
Female.....	81,544	79,386	70,176	65,778	63,840	59,706
Total.....	161,088	157,756	139,234	132,001	127,681	118,730
Males—per cent. of total.....%	49·4	49·7	49·6	50·2	50·0	49·7
Electors per 1000 of population.....	606	622	577	566	557	528
Votes Recorded (including informal votes)—						
Male.....	74,697	71,414	61,480	62,880	60,623	56,674
Female.....	73,891	72,260	65,554	61,580	59,999	56,105
Total.....	148,588	143,674	127,034	124,460	120,622	112,779
Males—per cent. of total.....%	50·2	49·7	48·4	50·5	50·3	50·2
Voters—Percentage to Electors on Roll—						
Male.....%	93·9	91·0	89·1	94·8	95·0	96·0
Female.....%	90·6	91·1	93·4	93·6	94·0	94·0
Total.....%	92·2	91·1	91·2	94·3	94·5	95·0
Valid votes recorded.....	142,722	129,190	120,690	121,463	116,767	108,894
Informal Votes—						
Number.....	5866	14,484	6344	2997	3855	3885
Percentage to votes recorded.....%	3·95	10·08	4·99	2·41	3·19	3·45
Number of candidates.....	83	86	62	67	77	70
Number of deposits forfeited.....	23	17	9	13	14	16
Number of members elected at first count.....	9	8	7	7	6	6
Total number of counts.....	627	713	320	349	616	437
Greatest number of counts for one division.....	300	208	123	81	123	193

The census of 1933 showed the proportion of adults to be 580 per 1000 of the total population of Tasmania. At the recent election, the franchise was extended to certain classes of persons (minors) who had experienced war service. This is mainly responsible for the increase in the number of voters per 1000 of population to 622 in 1946 and 606 in 1948.

The proportion of informal votes (3·95 per cent) was very much below that of the 1946 election, but did not differ materially from earlier elections. The divisions showed percentages ranging from 4·51 per cent in Darwin to 3·21 per cent in Bass.

The number of candidates (83) was again above average, but three less than at the previous election, and the number of independent candidates was a record (17). The number of counts (627) was above average, while the greatest number of counts in a division (Denison) (300) was also the highest recorded for several elections.

Although males comprise a majority of population, male electors were slightly in a minority (49.4 per cent), but, as voters, they were in a majority (50.2 per cent).

TABLE 3.—Electors on Roll and Voters—Last Five General Elections.

	Electors on Roll—Male					Voters—Male				
	1948.	1946.	1941.	1937.	1934.	1948.	1946.	1941.	1937.	1934.
Bass.....	14,769	14,352	12,486	12,591	12,268	14,011	12,982	11,063	11,824	11,629
Darwin.....	16,839	17,494	15,708	14,465	13,941	16,054	15,674	13,872	13,732	13,189
Denison.....	14,791	14,774	12,786	11,956	11,381	13,469	13,340	11,311	11,277	10,726
Franklin.....	18,425	17,526	14,943	14,090	13,660	17,425	16,224	13,501	13,609	13,151
Wilmut.....	14,726	14,274	13,135	13,121	12,641	13,748	13,194	11,713	12,438	11,928
Total.....	79,544	78,420	69,058	66,223	63,841	74,697	71,414	61,480	62,880	60,623

	Electors on Roll—Female					Voters—Female				
	1948.	1946.	1941.	1937.	1934.	1948.	1946.	1941.	1937.	1934.
Bass.....	15,095	15,484	13,982	13,521	13,292	13,608	14,152	13,096	12,866	12,541
Darwin.....	15,583	15,482	13,648	12,546	12,175	14,600	14,024	12,688	11,810	11,355
Denison.....	17,416	17,422	15,781	14,390	13,679	15,561	15,633	14,737	13,497	13,049
Franklin.....	13,380	17,367	14,480	13,440	13,074	17,132	16,045	13,613	12,776	12,281
Wilmut.....	14,170	13,581	12,285	11,881	11,020	12,990	12,406	11,480	11,081	10,773
Total.....	81,544	79,386	70,176	65,778	63,840	73,891	72,360	65,554	61,580	59,999

	Electors on Roll—Both Sexes					Voters—Both Sexes				
	1948.	1946.	1941.	1937.	1934.	1948.	1946.	1941.	1937.	1934.
Bass.....	30,764	29,836	26,468	26,112	25,560	27,619	27,134	24,119	24,490	24,170
Darwin.....	32,416	32,976	29,356	27,011	26,116	30,654	29,698	26,560	25,542	24,544
Denison.....	32,207	32,196	28,567	26,346	25,010	29,020	28,973	26,048	24,774	23,775
Franklin.....	31,805	34,893	29,423	27,530	26,734	34,557	32,269	27,114	26,385	25,432
Wilmut.....	28,896	27,855	25,420	25,002	24,261	26,733	25,600	23,193	23,469	22,701
Total.....	161,088	157,756	139,234	132,001	127,681	148,588	143,674	127,034	124,460	120,623

TABLE 4.—Percentage of Electors Voting at General Elections Since 1916.

General Election.	Percentage of Electors Voting.		
	Male.	Female.	Total.
State, 25.3.1916.....	76.1	71.0	73.6
Federal, 5.5.1917.....	82.0	70.0	76.0
State, 31.5.1919.....	69.6	62.6	66.1
Federal, 31.12.1919.....	65.1	52.3	58.7
State, 10.6.1922.....	70.0	56.3	63.1
Federal, 16.12.1922.....	53.4	38.0	45.6
State, 3.6.1925.....	72.9	61.8	67.3
Federal, 14.11.1925.....	89.5	88.0	88.7
State, 30.5.1928.....	84.9	78.9	81.9
Federal, 12.10.1929.....	96.3	94.2	95.2
State, 9.5.1931.....	96.0	94.0	95.0
Federal, 19.12.1931.....	96.5	96.2	96.4
State, 9.6.1934.....	95.0	94.0	94.5
Federal, 15.9.1934.....	96.2	95.3	95.8
State, 20.2.1937.....	94.8	93.6	94.3
Federal, 23.10.1937.....	96.1	95.9	96.0
Federal, 21.9.1940.....	94.1	95.4	94.8
State, 13.12.1941.....	89.1	93.4	91.2
Federal, 21.8.1943.....	99.4	94.8	97.0
Referendum, 19.8.1944.....	99.3	94.7	97.0
Federal, 23.9.1943.....	95.0	92.5	93.7
State, 23.11.1946.....	91.0	91.1	91.1
Federal, 23.9.1946.....	95.0	92.5	93.7
Referendum, 29.5.1948.....	93.2	90.9	92.1
State, 21.8.1948.....	93.9	90.9	92.2



The preceding table, giving the percentage of electors who exercised the franchise at all general elections, both State and Federal, since 1916, indicates roughly the rise and fall of political interest among the electors up to 1925. Both its rise and fall are much more pronounced in the case of women than of men. The proportions for the subsequent elections are dominated by compulsory voting, first in the Federal sphere and later in the State. The absence of many electors from the State on military service would largely account for the lower percentage of voters at the elections in 1940 and 1941.

#### REPRESENTATION OF PARTIES.

At the election of the 21st August, 1948, 83 candidates were nominated. Of these, 31 were endorsed nominees of the Labour Party, 35 were nominated by the Liberal Party, and 17 described themselves as Independent.

The result was the return of 12 Liberals, 15 Labour, and 3 Independents.

The No. 1 votes were cast directly for the three groups in each of the five electoral divisions of the State as follows:—

TABLE 5.—Party Strength as Indicated by No. 1 Preferences, 1948.

	Labour.	Liberal.	Independent.	Total.
Bass .....	14,937	11,794	...	26,731
Darwin .....	13,968	13,377	1,927	29,272
Denison .....	12,344	5,787	9,585	27,716
Franklin .....	15,844	11,212	6,086	33,142
Wilmot .....	13,383	11,840	698	25,861
Total .....	70,476	54,010	18,286	142,772

The method of election is briefly the "single transferable vote" system, and the law provides that a seat shall be allotted to each complete quota of votes in each division.

The quota in each division is ascertained by the following formula:—

$$\frac{\text{Number of valid ballot-papers} + 1}{\text{Number to be elected} + 1} = \text{Quota.}$$

Any remainder is ignored.

For the election of a "House" of 30 members, there will therefore be 35 theoretical quotas, which, however, because of "exhaust" votes, will not become complete.

In reports of previous elections, an attempt was made to weigh the ultimate voting strength of the two main parties by allotting to each faction the votes received by the Independent candidates according to the party sympathies of their supporters as far as these could be ascertained. In the 1946 and 1948 elections, however, the large number of Independent candidates rendered this course difficult and uncertain, and so the Independents have been shown hereunder as a third group.

The following table shows for each division, and for the State as a whole, the number of first preference votes, the number of quotas, and the seats won by each party:—

TABLE 6.—Party Strength in Divisions as Indicated by No. 1 Preferences, 1948.

Division.	Labour.			Liberal.			Independent.		
	First Preferences.	No. of Quotas.	Seats Won.	First Preferences.	No. of Quotas.	Seats Won.	First Preferences.	No. of Quotas.	Seats Won.
Bass.....	14,937	3.91	3	11,794	3.09	3	...	...	...
Darwin....	13,968	3.34	3	13,377	3.20	3	1,927	0.46	...
Denison...	12,344	3.12	3	5,787	1.46	1	9,585	2.42	2
Franklin...	15,844	3.35	3	11,212	2.37	2	6,086	1.28	1
Wilmot....	13,383	3.62	3	11,840	3.20	3	698	0.18	...
Total ...	70,476	17.34	15	54,010	13.32	12	18,286	4.34	3

A standard of representation is furnished by the fact that the number of members for the State as a whole (30) is 14.3 per cent below the number of quotas (35). The number of quotas of votes allotted to the Labour Party throughout the State was 17.34, but the seats won were 13.5 per cent less (15), whereas the seats obtained by the Liberals (12) were 9.1 per cent below the quotas of first preference votes. Independent candidates polled 4.34 quotas for 3 seats, or 30.9 per cent below the number of quotas. In other words, there were 2.34 quotas of Labour votes made up of unrepresented fractions of 15 members elected, Liberal votes were under represented by 1.32 quotas for 12 members elected, while Independent candidates polled 1.34 quotas of unrepresented votes.

The disparity between the number of quotas and seats won was most pronounced in Bass where the three successful Labour candidates polled 3.91 quotas for their seats.

The following table shows the party strength as indicated by the quotas of No. 1 preferences, and the seats actually won by Labour and non-Labour groups at each general election since 1934. Independent votes have been distributed as described elsewhere in the context, and the total for the State is the aggregate of the results for the five divisions.

TABLE 7.—Party Strength as Indicated by No. 1 Preferences, 1934-1948.

Division.	1934		1937		1941		1946			1948		
	Non-Labour.	Labour.	Non-Labour.	Labour.	Non-Labour.	Labour.	Nation- alists.	Labour.	Indepen- dent.	Liberal.	Labour.	Indepen- dent.
Bass—												
Quotas .....	3.44	3.56	2.47	4.53	2.50	4.50	2.23	4.05	0.72	3.09	3.91	...
Seats won ...	3	3	2	4	2	4	2	4	0	3	3	...
Darwin—												
Quotas .....	4.04	2.96	2.96	4.04	2.90	4.10	3.34	3.46	0.20	3.20	3.34	0.46
Seats won ...	3	3	3	3	2	4	3	3	0	3	3	0
Denison—												
Quotas .....	2.80	3.59	2.59	4.41	2.20	4.80	1.35	3.17	2.48	1.46	3.12	2.42
Seats won ...	2*	2	2	4	2	4	2	3	1	1	3	2
Franklin—												
Quotas .....	2.86	3.63	2.90	4.10	2.38	4.62	2.46	3.61	0.93	2.37	3.35	1.23
Seats won ...	2*	3*	2	4	2	4	3	3	1	2	3	1
Wilmot—												
Quotas .....	3.99	3.01	3.01	3.99	3.02	3.98	2.54	3.58	0.88	3.20	3.62	0.18
Seats won ...	3	3*	3	3	2	4	4	3	0	3	3	0
All—												
Quotas .....	17.13	16.75	13.93	21.07	13.0	22.0	11.92	17.87	5.21	18.32	17.34	4.34
Seats won ...	13	15	12	18	10	20	12	16	2	12	15	3

\* Omitting the votes for one Independent candidate who was elected.

Other examples of unequal representation occurred in Denison and Wilmot in 1946, and in Wilmot in 1941. The most striking case, in its effect on the representation of parties throughout the whole electorate of the State, was in 1922. In that year the non-Labour party had 4.19 unrepresented fractional quotas against Labour's 1.23. This distribution nearest to equality required that non-Labour should have one more member and Labour one less, though if two more members had gone to non-Labour and two less to Labour the disparity would not have been serious. In that year the non-Labour party lost by unrepresented fractions in every division, whereas the losses of the Labour party in some divisions were counterbalanced by gains in others. A similar inequality, though less serious, occurred in 1941 by larger fractional losses to the non-Labour party in most divisions.

The number of quotas shown in the immediately preceding tables for the State as a whole is the sum of the quotas for each division, which, of course, are not uniform. The following figures show the representation due to each party, based directly on the total number of first votes polled by each in the whole of the electorates or on the number of uniform quotas and the number of seats won.

*Strength of Parties on the Basis of No. 1 Preferences and Seats Won.*

*(The State as a Single Electorate.)*

	No. 1 Preferences.	Seats in Proportion.	Seats Won.
Labour	70,476	14·82	15
Liberal	54,010	11·85	12
Independent	18,236	3·83	3

The preferential system of voting therefore resulted in slight over-representation of both Labour and Liberal parties at the expense of Independent candidates, but gives a substantially correct representation when the second preferences of Independent candidates are allotted.

The results given in Table 7 show that except in 1928, there has been no case where the difference between the actual representation and the theoretically requisite representation has prejudiced the position of either party in Parliament.

A comparison between the number of seats due to parties, on the basis of No. 1 preferences and seats actually won, is given for all elections held under the present law in the following table:—

TABLE 8.—*Representation of Parties for the Whole State, 1919-1948.*  
(Seats in Proportion of No. 1 Preferences, and Seats Actually Won.)

		Non-Labour.	Labour.			Non-Labour.	Labour.
1919.....	{ Proportionate share .....	17·56	12·44	1934.....	{ Proportionate share .....	14·64	14·39
	{ Actually won .....	17	13		{ Actually won .....	13	15
1922.....	{ Proportionate share .....	18·07	11·42*	1937.....	{ Proportionate share .....	11·94	18·06
	{ Actually won .....	17	12		{ Actually won .....	12	18
1925.....	{ Proportionate share .....	14·42	15·58	1941.....	{ Proportionate share .....	11·11	18·89
	{ Actually won .....	14	16		{ Actually won .....	10	20
1928.....	{ Proportionate share .....	14·85	15·15	1946.....	{ Proportionate share .....	†	15·29
	{ Actually won .....	16	14		{ Actually won .....	...	16
1931.....	{ Proportionate share .....	18·39*	10·98	1948.....	{ Proportionate share .....	**	14·83
	{ Actually won .....	19	10		{ Actually won .....	**	15

\* Omitting the votes for an Independent candidate.

† Liberal Proportionate share 10·27; Actually Won 12.

Independent Proportionate share; 4·44; Actually Won 12.

\*\* Liberal Proportionate share; 11·35; Actually Won 12.

Independent Proportionate share; 3·83; Actually Won 3.

**GENERAL REMARKS.**

The 1948 election was notable for the large number of candidates, 83; and particularly for the high proportion of Independents, 17 (20 per cent) of whom three were successful. In Denison, one Independent candidate secured 1·40 quotas and attracted a large number of first preferences which would normally have been divided between Liberals and Labour in the proportion of rather more than two to one. Most candidates were grouped and the positions of the groups on the ballot-paper were decided by ballot. Liberals formed group A in Franklin and Wilmot; and group B in Bass, Darwin, and Denison. Labour were grouped A in Bass and Darwin; group B in Wilmot; group C in Franklin; and D in Denison. Independents filled the remaining positions.

Generally electors were very faithful to party lines. Independents gave preference to other Independents in the running and then to Liberals. Deposits were forfeited by 23 candidates, of whom 11 were Independents, eight Liberals, and four Labour.

A brief summary of the results of the 1948 election for each division is appended:—

**BASS.**

There were 13 candidates in this division, of whom six were Labour and seven Liberal. No Independent candidates nominated. Valid votes numbered 26,731, of which 14,937 were recorded for Labour and 11,794 for Liberal. Labour candidates secured 3·91 quotas and gained three seats, and Liberals gained three seats for 3·09 quotas. First preferences secured the election of two Labour candidates while the third candidate elected—a Liberal—was successful on the 18th count. In all, 36 counts were necessary for the complete election. There was little cross-voting.

## DARWIN.

Seventeen candidates—six Labour; eight Liberal; and three Independents nominated for Darwin. Labour polled 13,968 votes, 3.34 quotas for three seats; Liberals, 13,377 votes, 3.20 quotas for three seats; and Independents, 1927 votes, 0.46 quotas. Little cross-voting occurred. Independents' preferences were given to other Independents and then to Liberal candidates. The sixth successful candidate did not reach the quota, owing to the large number of exhaust votes.

## DENISON.

The Denison Division attracted the largest number of candidates, 22, of whom eight were Liberal, seven Labour, and seven Independent. Of the Independents, three formed group A, two formed group C, and two were ungrouped. Labour polled 12,344 votes for 3.12 quotas and three seats; Liberals 5787 votes, 1.46 quotas for one seat; and Independents 9585 votes, 2.42 quotas for two seats. One Labour and one Independent candidate were elected at the first count, but 300 counts were needed before the last candidate was elected.

Little cross-voting was evident in this division. Ten candidates—four Liberal, four Independents, and two Labour—forfeited their deposits.

## FRANKLIN.

Eighteen candidates contested the election for Franklin. Six were Liberal; six Labour; and six Independents, of whom four were grouped and two ungrouped. Labour candidates polled 15,844 votes, 3.35 quotas for three seats; Liberals 11,212 votes, 2.37 quotas for two seats; grouped Independents 5892 votes, 1.24 quotas for one seat, and ungrouped Independents 194 votes for no seat. Little cross-voting took place in this division. Two Liberal and one Labour candidates forfeited their deposits.

## WILMOT.

For this division there were six Liberals, six Labour, and one Independent candidates. Of the 25,861 valid votes, 13,383, 3.62 quotas went to Labour for three seats; 11,840, 3.20 quotas to Liberal for three seats and 638 votes, 0.18 to the Independent candidate. Two candidates were elected on the first preferences and only 54 counts were required to complete the election. Voters kept to party lines, while the only Independent's preferences were about two to one in favour of the Liberals.

## ABSENT VOTES, POSTAL VOTES, AND SECTION 116A.

Under the provisions of Section 116A, 919 persons whose names were not on the roll claimed the right to vote, and filled in ballot-papers. Of these 737 were rejected because their claim to be on the roll could not be sustained. The remaining 182 were admitted to the scrutiny and six of them rejected for informalities, leaving 176 valid votes under Section 116A.

The number of absent votes was 9673, but these included a number whose names were not, in fact, on the roll, and 907 were rejected on this account. A further 387 were rejected for informalities, leaving 8379 valid absent votes.

Taking together the claims under Section 116A and attempts to vote under provisions for electors absent from their usual subdivisions, the number of votes disallowed in previous years were:—1946, 1448; 1941, 2283; 1937, 1897; 1934, 1687; 1931, 2086; 1928, 1545; 1925, 1668; 1922, 1473. These disallowed votes are not counted either as votes recorded or as informal votes.

TABLE 9.—Votes under Section 116A and Absent Votes.

Division.	Votes under Section 116A.				Absent Votes.			
	Papers Received.			Admitted to Scrutiny.	Papers Received.			Admitted to Scrutiny.
	Males.	Females.	Total.		Males.	Females.	Total.	
Bass.....	74	59	133	22	824	798	1622	1462
Darwin.....	115	89	204	34	1012	934	1946	1707
Denison.....	68	66	134	42	1000	815	1815	1686
Franklin.....	116	90	206	37	1213	1056	2269	2102
Wilmot.....	150	92	242	41	1072	949	2021	1809
Total .....	523	396	919	176	5121	4552	9673	8766

TABLE 10.—Valid Postal Votes in Parties.

Division	Liberal	Labour	Independent	Total
Bass .....	477	572	...	1049
Darwin .....	890	269	74	723
Denison .....	969	569	329	1257
Franklin .....	466	346	149	951
Wilmot .....	352	312	22	686
<b>Total</b> .....	<b>2024</b>	<b>2068</b>	<b>574</b>	<b>4666</b>

TABLE 11.—Summary of Absent and Post Voting.

	1948.	1946.	1941.	1937.	1934.	1931.	1928.
Valid Absent Votes .....	8766	8856	9253	8086	5989	5961 (a)	5604 (a)
Valid Votes under Section 116a .....	176	173	290	353	367	388	318
Valid Postal Votes .....	4666	4837	3435	2602	2270	2001	1154

(a) Voting outside subdivision in which enrolled.

## INFORMAL BALLOT-PAPERS.

The proportion of informal ballot-papers to the total number of ballot-papers at the election of 1946 was higher, at 10·08 per cent, than in any year in this century.

A summary of the proportion of informal ballot-papers in each division at each general election since 1912 is as follows:—

TABLE 12.—Percentage of Informal Ballot-Papers, 1912-1948.

	Bass.	Darwin.	Denison.	Franklin.	Wilmot.	All Divisions.
1912 .....	2·63	2·97	2·67	2·78	3·26	2·85
1913 .....	2·49	3·07	3·35	3·17	2·89	2·91
1916 .....	3·88	5·49	5·63	6·52	5·53	5·66*
1919 .....	3·33	4·86	3·02	3·34	6·06	3·96*
1922 .....	2·05	2·56	2·58	2·86	3·20	2·63
1925 .....	1·82	2·51	2·12	2·25	2·40	2·22
1928 .....	3·38	3·23	2·64	2·83	4·12	3·24
1931 .....	3·10	3·27	3·45	3·05	2·87	3·44
1934 .....	3·44	2·95	3·10	2·76	3·85	3·19
1937 .....	2·33	2·60	2·00	2·26	2·88	2·41
1941 .....	6·16	4·70	4·97	5·43	3·62	4·99
1946 .....	10·95	7·96	11·01	10·48	10·07	10·08
1948 .....	3·21	4·51	4·49	4·09	3·28	3·95

\* The proportions in these years were exaggerated by the inclusion of votes by persons who were not on the roll.

TABLE 13.—Elections held in Tasmania, 1903-1948—Informal Ballot-Papers.

General Elections.		Electors Contested.	Date of Election.	Total Number of Ballot-papers.	Number of Informal Papers.	Percentage of Informal Papers.	Method of Marking Paper.
Federal.	State.						
...	Assembly	31	2. 4. 1903	No. 23,261	No. 345	1.48	Striking out all names but one
Senate.....	...	1 (all)	16. 12. 1903	87,021	1441	3.89	× opposite three names
Representatives.....	...	5		37,013	1164	3.14	× opposite one name
...	Assembly	27	16. 9. 1906	40,322	487	1.21	Striking out all names but one
Senate.....	...	1	12. 12. 1906	48,879	2192	4.48	× opposite three names
Representatives.....	...	4		40,194	1583	3.94	× opposite one name
...	Assembly	5	30. 4. 1909	50,402	1442	2.86	Preference 1, 2, 3
Senate.....	...	1	13. 4. 1910	57,609	1893	3.29	× opposite three names
Representatives.....	...	5		1447	2.51	× opposite one name	
Referendum (Leg. Powers).....	...	...	26. 4. 1911	58,020	879	1.16	× opposite name
...	Assembly	5	30. 4. 1912	76,053	2168	2.85	Preference 1, 2, 3
...	Assembly	5	28. 1. 1913	70,812	2035	2.87	Preference 1, 2, 3
Senate.....	...	1	31. 5. 1913	80,398	4927	6.19	× opposite three names
Representatives.....	...	5		82,253	3871	4.71	× opposite six names
Senate (Dissolution).....	...	1	5. 9. 1914	85,103	1549	2.38	× opposite name
Representatives.....	...	4		78,984	4348	5.51	Preference 1, 2, 3
...	Assembly	5	25. 3. 1916	82,608	3075	3.72	× opposite three names
Senate.....	...	1	5. 5. 1917	65,873	2021	3.07	× opposite name
Representatives.....	...	4		71,064	2757	3.88	Preference 1, 2, 3
...	Assembly	5	31. 5. 1919	6364	9.68	Preference 1 to 7	
Senate.....	...	1	13. 12. 1919	65,716	8304	5.03	Preference 1, 2, 3
Representatives.....	...	5		69,752	1834	2.63	Preference 1, 2, 3
...	Assembly	5	10. 6. 1922	82,608	4750	5.75	Preference 1 to 7
Senate.....	...	1	16. 12. 1922	51,220	3904	6.45	Preference 1, 2, 3
Representatives.....	...	5		77,981	1714	2.22	Preference 1, 2, 3
...	Assembly	5	3. 6. 1925	11,878	11.27	Preference 1 to 9	
Senate.....	...	1	14. 11. 1925	102,742	5027	4.89	Preference 1, 2, 3
Representatives.....	...	5		91,079	2973	3.24	Preference 1, 2, 3
...	Assembly	5	30. 5. 1928	16,894	15.73	Preference 1 to 6	
Senate.....	...	1	17. 11. 1928	106,153	6242	6.45	Preference 1, 2, 3
Representatives.....	...	5		110,927	2064	1.96	Preference 1, 2, 3
...	Assembly	5	12. 10. 1929	112,779	3885	3.45	Preference 1, 2, 3
Representatives.....	...	5	9. 5. 1931	120,622	3855	3.19	Preference 1, 2, 3
...	Assembly	5	9. 6. 1934	124,460	2997	2.41	Preference 1, 2, 3
Senate.....	...	1	20. 2. 1937	(a)	(a)	(a)	Preference 1, 2, 3
Representatives.....	...	5	19. 12. 1931	(a)	(a)	(a)	Preference 1, 2, 3
Senate.....	...	1		15. 9. 1934	123,801	4840	3.91
...	Assembly	5	28. 10. 1937	20,183	16.80	16.80	Preference 1, 2, 3 etc. for all candidates
Representatives.....	...	5		128,679	3613	2.81	Preference 1, 2, 3
Senate.....	...	1	21. 9. 1940	16,258	12.63	12.63	Preference 1, 2, 3 etc. for all candidates
Representatives.....	...	5		130,789	4102	3.13	Preference 1, 2, 3
...	Assembly	5	13. 12. 1941	17,067	13.05	13.05	Preference 1, 2, 3 etc. for all candidates
Senate.....	...	1		127,084	6344	4.99	Preference 1, 2, 3 etc. for all candidates
Representatives.....	...	5	21. 8. 1943	4975	3.58	3.58	Preference 1, 2, 3 etc. for all candidates
Senate.....	...	1		18,831	13.54	13.54	Preference 1, 2, 3 etc. for all candidates
...	Assembly	5	19. 8. 1944	139,411	2256	1.62	Preference 1, 2
Referendum.....	...	...	26. 9. 1946	144,879	3706	2.56	Preference 1, 2, 3 etc. for all candidates
Representatives.....	...	5		17,113	11.81	11.81	Preference 1, 2, 3 etc. for all candidates
Senate.....	...	1	23. 11. 1946	143,674	14,484	10.08	Preference 1, 2, 3
...	Assembly	5		145,135	2853	1.97	Preference 1, 2
Referendum.....	...	...	29. 5. 1948	148,588	5866	3.95	Preference 1, 2, 3
...	Assembly	5	21. 8. 1948				

(a) Details are not available.

Bass  
Darwin  
Fraser  
WilkesPer  
Vdu  
be  
ca  
th

T.

Y

-

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

## EXHAUSTED BALLOT-PAPERS.

TABLE 14.—Votes Lost by Exhaustion.

	1948.	1946.	1941.	1937.	1934.	1931.	1928.	1925.	1922.	1919.
Bass.....	163	817	1097	974	1364	114	278	485	1133	645
Darwin.....	1830	1490	420	194	687	428	331	914	191	454
Denison.....	1329	1175	575	1025	1344	412	321	224	400	392
Franklin.....	2523	2058	915	323	859	463	466	918	592	359
Wilmot.....	1006	1875	186	311	1096	1043	1152	518	291	368
Total.....	6851	7415	3193	2827	5350	2460	2548	3059	2607	2246
Percentage of Total Votes.....	4.61	5.16	2.6	2.3	4.6	2.3	2.9	4.0	3.8	3.3

The figures in the above table represent the number of votes which were discarded during the actual count because there was no further choice marked on them which could be made effective. These votes do not, in all cases, indicate unrepresented electors, because many of them had contributed to the election of successful candidates before they became exhausted.

TABLE 15.—Brief Sketch of the Development of the Elective Franchise for House of Assembly in Tasmania Since the Year 1870.

Year.	Adult Persons (Estimated).	Estimated Mean Population.	Elected Parliamentary Representatives.	Electoral Divisions.	Constituencies.			Electors Enrolled.			Lower Range of Electors' Qualification to Franchise in Possession of—
					One- Member.	Two- Member.	Six- Member.	No.	Per Cent. to—		
									Total Pop.	Adult Pop.	
1870...	48,210	100,038	30	30	30	...	...	11,171*	11.17	23.17	A.—Adult Males.
1871...	48,820	101,393	32	32	32	...	...	13,801*	13.61	28.27	Property value of £7
1872...	49,380	102,467	32	32	32	...	...	14,061*	13.67	28.35	Ditto
1881...	55,750	116,280	32	32	32	...	...	15,659*	13.47	28.09	Salary £80 annually
1891...	73,260	147,969	36	28	20	8	...	25,932*	17.53	35.40	Ditto
1901...	86,490	172,525	38	29	20	9	...	30,002*	22.61	45.09	Salary or wage £60
1902...	87,810	175,173	35	35	35	...	...	30,495*	22.54	44.98	Ditto
1906...	92,370	184,272	35	35	35	...	...	39,029	48.31	96.38	Manhood Suffrage
1908...	93,980	187,485	35	35	35	...	...	36,361	46.07	91.89	B.—Adult Suffrage
1909...	100,070	190,227	30	5	...	...	5	98,239	51.64	98.18	Ditto
1911...	99,960	190,120	30	5	...	...	5	102,326	53.82	102.40	Ditto
1912...	100,750	190,769	30	5	...	...	5	103,513	54.26	102.74	Ditto
1913...	103,050	194,361	30	5	...	...	5	105,292	55.24	102.17	Ditto
1916...	104,180	194,265	30	5	...	...	5	107,321	54.17	103.01	Ditto
1919...	111,190	204,959	30	5	...	...	5	107,541	52.47	96.72	Ditto
1922...	118,320	215,379	30	5	...	...	5	110,549	51.33	93.49	Ditto
1925...	120,360	215,552	30	5	...	...	5	114,901	53.30	95.46	Ditto
1928...	122,250	215,421	30	5	...	...	5	111,956	51.06	91.58	Ditto
1931...	129,300	224,911	30	5	...	...	5	118,730	52.81	91.61	Ditto
1934...	132,982	229,161	30	5	...	...	5	127,681	55.72	96.01	Ditto
1937...	135,321	233,191	30	5	...	...	5	132,001	56.60	97.55	Ditto
1941...	138,983	239,416	30	5	...	...	5	139,234	58.16	100.22	Ditto
1946...	145,800	251,264	30	5	...	...	5	157,756	62.78	108.20	Ditto
1948...	156,000	266,000	30	5	...	...	5	161,088	60.56	103.26	Ditto

\* Male only.

## VOTING IN SUBDIVISIONS.

The following tables, 16 to 20, give for each division the number of first ordinary vote choices for each candidate in each subdivision, and in absent votes, votes under Section 116A, and postal votes. The number of electors on the roll, and electors who voted, by sexes, and the number of informal papers, are also given for the same subdivisions. The candidates are grouped in parties, and the total votes are given for the groups. Although the Independent candidates are grouped together, they need not necessarily be considered as a political party group.

TABLE 16.—PRIMARY VOTES (BY SUBDIVISIONS) DIVISION OF BASS.

Subdivision	Electors				PRIMARY VOTES														Total						
	Electors Enrolled		Electors who Voted		Group A.—Labour							Group B.—Liberal							Total Valid	Informal					
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Atkins	Carter	Howroyd*	Hurst	Madden*	Turnbull*	Total	Reattie*	Breheny	Chapple(a)	Hollingsworth	Marriott*	Orrharrd*	Steeer	Total						
Flinders	308	226	539	324	183	411	7	4	42	3	46	65	167	79	18	2	16	87	11	9	256	383	18	411	
Georges Town	299	280	579	246	283	479	17	22	28	3	95	65	280	106	31	..	11	84	39	21	342	472	9	479	
Launceston Central	1478	1892	3370	1180	1493	2692	82	190	208	99	553	415	1477	62	123	9	75	364	266	1107	2594	98	2692		
" East	2988	3858	6796	2436	3000	5486	164	164	315	209	857	770	2473	164	309	20	169	1038	457	686	2522	5295	141	5486	
" North	2682	2749	5411	2236	2937	4538	830	827	351	211	692	757	3148	86	91	15	162	354	250	224	1192	4349	199	4538	
" West	2463	2834	5296	2091	2336	4427	126	159	303	201	576	615	2379	116	156	23	161	550	492	396	1894	4273	154	4427	
Lilydale	801	743	1544	655	577	1332	158	26	42	26	194	102	547	221	63	7	47	98	126	98	680	1207	25	1232	
Portland	430	404	834	345	308	654	44	7	64	6	87	102	309	99	18	10	46	61	57	24	315	624	30	654	
Ringarooma	1090	950	2040	935	788	1725	144	86	392	37	161	211	961	800	65	14	29	78	173	42	696	1677	46	1723	
Scottsdale	817	779	1596	674	617	1291	284	17	167	10	40	48	566	519	16	38	8	26	72	14	633	1259	32	1291	
St. Leonards	1459	1290	2739	1108	1095	2203	114	125	151	90	331	436	1247	125	103	13	49	201	197	188	876	2123	80	2203	
Absent Votes	..	..	..	747	715	1462	110	64	166	32	227	231	830	103	48	21	54	172	104	87	584	1414	48	1462	
Votes under Sec. 116A	..	..	..	16	6	22	1	3	..	6	1	1	11	4	..	..	2	9	2	10	10	21	1	22	
Postal Votes	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Total	14,769	15,995	30,764	14,011	13,608	27,619	1632	1625	2236	940	4501	3953	14,987	2074	1068	178	917	8225	3249	3083	11,794	26,731	898	27,619	

\* Elected. (a) Forfeited Deposit.



TABLE 17.—PRIMARY VOTES (BY SUBDIVISIONS) DIVISION OF DARWIN.

Subdivision.	Elector.				PRIMARY VOTES.														Votes.		Total.								
	Enrolled.		who Voted.		Group A—Labour.							Group B—Liberal.							Group C Independent.			Total Valid.	Informal.						
	Male.	Female.	Male.	Female.	Aylett.*	Bartram	Brambich.*	Porton. (a)	Reece.*	Smith.	Total Labour.	Acheson.	Byard.	Chamberlain.*	Fidler.*	French. (a)	Hilder	Lyons.*	Williams.	Total Liberal.				Batten (a)	Morlie	Margriffs (a)	Total In- dependent.		
Circular Head .....	1944	1886	3732	1886	1482	3820	480	42	442	38	304	13	115	37	24	24	196	9	1722	18	59	19	96	3104	216	3320	890		
Devonport .....	2465	4898	7363	3461	4269	8730	458	118	128	60	862	107	1734	74	99	266	173	84	314	261	1394	78	909	5	983	4971	198	5169	
Esau Bay .....	3171	3009	6180	2812	2576	5388	1764	198	164	384	641	94	2625	112	119	374	1245	98	489	48	2529	4	109	17	121	5173	213	5386	
Gormanston .....	179	197	376	148	108	256	14	61	8	3	134	3	3	1	6	1	5	4	25	4	25	4	4	241	13	254	266		
Keatich .....	1844	1177	3021	1195	1011	2206	150	10	72	8	212	388	773	19	62	229	50	90	14	182	649	1246	9	99	13	121	2199	671	2866
King Island .....	521	408	930	466	351	817	118	18	9	1	215	8	364	51	8	146	6	11	13	42	90	967	4	16	1	15	746	71	817
Leven .....	2192	2065	4107	1860	1797	3657	857	57	118	73	513	77	1297	37	65	1399	93	164	33	178	91	2075	12	92	11	115	3487	170	3657
Penguin .....	811	748	1559	709	619	1328	189	9	47	25	124	11	355	39	57	430	117	19	54	106	49	871	7	15	1	23	1965	88	2053
Queenstown .....	1373	1156	2529	1230	996	2226	65	648	12	8	1030	2	1765	37	17	79	68	17	16	82	27	343	7	15	1	23	2131	95	2226
Strahan .....	170	140	316	135	112	247	27	70	...	1	91	2	191	6	2	4	9	4	3	14	2	43	...	4	...	4	238	9	247
Table Cape .....	1913	1739	3652	1688	1491	3179	375	38	612	30	190	18	1263	104	407	275	321	34	189	169	51	1544	6	50	162	240	3047	132	3179
Wasah .....	1490	142	362	139	105	244	38	12	3	30	107	6	186	7	6	3	1	2	14	...	39	2	2	...	4	...	229	15	244
Zechar .....	710	555	1265	691	480	1041	141	150	24	22	418	47	802	12	5	76	24	6	2	45	6	176	2	8	...	10	988	53	1041
Absent Votes .....	...	...	...	872	895	1767	309	98	114	84	338	42	980	111	34	173	124	29	44	85	47	647	8	58	12	78	1655	52	1707
Votes under Sec. 116A .....	...	...	...	15	16	31	4	1	3	2	5	1	16	1	2	3	1	...	...	...	...	17	...	...	...	33	33	1	34
Postal Votes .....	...	...	...	245	460	705	77	28	33	5	111	15	269	46	28	116	71	12	41	39	27	380	3	62	9	74	723	12	735
Total .....	16,893	15,569	32,462	16,064	14,600	30,664	4018	1488	1794	646	5255	777	13,968	2044	927	3634	2930	505	999	1567	1961	13,377	155	1498	274	1927	29,272	1382	30,654

\* Elected. (a) Forfeited Deposit.

TABLE 18.—PRIMARY VOTES (BY SUBDIVISIONS) DIVISION OF DENISON.

Subdivision.	Electors Enrolled.			Electors who Voted.			PRIMARY VOTES.																		Total.												
	Electors Enrolled.			Electors who Voted.			Group A Independent.						Group B—Liberal.						Group C Independent.						Group D—Labour.						Un grouped Independent.			Total.			
	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Hoson (a)	Hatten (a)	Wedd *	Total In- dependent	Dunnean	Harvey (a)	Heathorn (a)	Kennedy	Lewis (a)	Lyons (a)	O. Bourne	Strute *	Total Liberal.	Lee.	Towmley *	Total In- dependent	Bowling	Cooper (a)	Cosgrave *	Hope *	Mahoney	Nolan	White *	Total Labour.	Layton (a)	Walliss (a)	Total Un- grouped Independent	Total Valid Votes.	Informal	Total.	
Hobart Central	1629	1868	3497	1441	1551	2992	6	48	54	104	82	17	16	45	16	11	19	51	286	16	448	464	59	57	694	92	150	186	493	1751	13	24	37	2833	169	2992	
Hobart East	1698	1359	2437	854	973	1827	4	51	55	106	91	20	21	56	12	7	20	79	306	9	362	291	35	26	368	53	36	72	298	827	17	24	41	1739	86	1827	
Hobart North	2950	3354	6304	2525	2761	5286	16	91	107	195	44	53	116	47	51	64	95	187	791	35	926	961	107	89	1103	235	82	403	571	2527	32	82	61	4990	305	5286	
Hobart South	2284	2960	5244	1791	2286	4077	10	70	84	154	184	82	109	238	43	26	64	294	1038	38	776	814	67	58	704	119	44	114	464	1657	77	17	94	3699	178	4077	
Hobart West	2182	2410	4542	1765	1981	3756	7	60	70	130	165	41	47	92	36	14	82	159	686	21	716	737	63	72	938	148	49	112	324	1686	26	32	50	3688	188	3756	
New Town	3265	3835	7103	2690	3077	5767	11	89	109	208	413	92	97	196	90	39	114	378	1420	60	1288	1348	102	75	861	249	38	130	433	1957	71	21	92	2205	181	2386	
Queenborough	1238	1532	2765	1023	1288	2311	1	28	29	57	113	45	36	127	23	14	51	150	559	34	564	598	42	15	346	52	25	29	200	710	25	32	47	2205	106	2311	
Absent Votes	...	...	...	927	759	1686	5	35	40	75	96	20	33	66	14	30	51	105	415	10	337	337	27	22	316	55	15	48	146	629	12	9	21	1607	79	1686	
Sec. 116A Votes	...	...	...	17	95	112	...	1	1	2	5	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Postal Votes	...	...	...	426	850	1276	1	16	17	111	68	30	42	47	29	...	9	56	79	369	5	199	204	13	11	265	39	36	22	163	569	10	...	14	1267	19	1276
Total	14,791	17,416	32,207	13,459	15,581	29,040	61	484	530	3365	1409	391	457	984	309	201	552	1484	574	228	5536	5763	518	419	5642	1046	494	1119	3108	12,844	282	175	457	27,716	1304	29,020	

\* Elected. (a) Forfeited Deposit.

TABLE 19.—PRIMARY VOTES (BY SUBDIVISIONS) DIVISION OF FRANKLIN.

Subdivision	Electors				PRIMARY VOTES														Votes		Total													
	Electors Enrolled		Electors who Voted		Group A.—Liberal				Group B.—Independent				Group C.—Labour						Ungrouped Independent			Total Valid Votes	Informal											
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Calvert	Griffiths (a)	Jackson*	Mortaby (a)	Park	Wright*	Total Liberal	Cross (a)	Gray*	Fearall	Turnbull (a)	Total Independent	Brown	Dwyer*	Hand*	Nelson*				Somerville (a)	Worsley	Total Labour	Martin, H.	Martin, L.	G. (a)	Total Ungrouped Independent				
Clarence	1645	1768	3413	1402	1503	2904	51	162	116	190	226	446	1191	26	189	165	51	431	59	225	168	645	89	40	1166	7	6	13	2601	103	2804			
Esperance	969	880	1849	843	722	1565	12	2	305	9	31	184	543	52	76	86	19	188	75	226	74	153	14	224	766	3	4	7	1499	66	1565			
Glencroby	1027	1016	2043	883	834	1717	35	21	87	32	81	226	482	48	173	66	31	318	69	211	320	137	46	39	822	7	18	25	1647	70	1717			
Huon	1458	1317	2805	1298	1159	2457	104	15	313	36	91	507	1096	84	150	95	84	366	91	319	118	132	19	168	847	5	8	13	2322	135	2457			
Kingborough	1819	1738	3550	1538	1454	3017	47	16	188	34	142	349	776	86	281	607	41	665	71	472	112	88	31	332	1156	4	4	8	2908	114	3017			
Moona	4094	4081	8105	3542	3535	7127	68	55	934	89	168	600	1254	62	692	946	105	1145	464	1176	1043	1177	169	351	4385	19	46	65	6349	278	7127			
Nelson	2706	3889	6045	2287	2525	4942	135	73	566	82	456	1053	2302	90	682	301	72	1124	287	448	139	249	90	109	1323	7	7	14	4762	180	4942			
New Norfolk	2079	1923	4002	1536	1638	3214	60	47	125	31	127	472	862	36	187	98	66	881	138	1033	402	317	168	58	2101	8	14	22	3966	148	3814			
Fort Cygnet	845	742	1587	731	682	1363	28	2	105	18	21	354	483	127	46	33	13	219	34	414	77	53	7	77	662	2	1	2	777	23	800			
Richmond	532	441	973	498	364	860	17	17	38	31	61	190	294	3	28	14	2	47	12	43	370	6	2	1	434	3	1	1	1069	39	1108			
Sorell	712	582	1294	609	499	1108	25	12	74	38	95	294	638	14	41	45	24	124	39	134	92	130	8	8	233	3	3	1	1069	11	1108			
Spring Bay	286	242	528	232	187	419	14	1	9	8	15	103	146	3	10	7	10	30	22	133	56	16	3	3	233	2	2	3	481	31	512			
Tasman	330	281	611	274	288	512	14	8	43	16	29	98	208	10	25	17	6	58	19	109	37	42	2	4	213	2	3	3	481	31	512			
Absent Votes	...	...	...	1115	987	2102	57	27	138	31	102	270	625	31	167	129	33	350	105	349	186	207	40	63	973	5	8	13	1961	141	2101			
Votes under Sec. 116A	...	...	...	20	17	37	2	1	4	...	1	4	12	3	2	6	1	12	2	4	1	1	1	1	3	12	1	1	3	36	1	37		
Postal Votes	...	...	...	384	639	973	34	16	90	18	59	239	456	14	65	43	17	139	33	137	54	68	28	36	346	8	7	10	951	22	973			
Total	18,425	18,380	36,805	17,425	17,132	34,557	733	475	2395	658	1725	5226	11,212	578	2754	2041	519	5892	1443	5423	3249	3471	687	1691	15,844	70	124	194	33,142	1415	34,557			

(a) Forfeited Deposit.

\* Elected.

TABLE 23.—RESULT OF FINAL COUNT—DIVISION OF DENISON.
Electors on Roll, 32,207; Valid Votes, 27,716; Quota, 3960; Informal Papers, 1304.

Table with columns for candidates (Group A, B, C, D, Ungrouped), No. of Count, Exhaust., Less by Frac-tions., Total Valid Votes, and Remarks. Rows list various candidates like Hosan, Ratten, Wedd, Duncan, Harvey, etc., and their respective vote counts across different groups.

TABLE 24.—RESULT OF FINAL COUNT—DIVISION OF FRANKLIN.  
Electors on Roll, 36,805; Valid Votes, 33,142; Quota, 4735; Informal Papers, 1415.

No. of Count.	CANDIDATES.																						Exhaust.	Loss by Fractions.	Total Valid Votes.	Remarks.		
	Group "A" Liberal.						Group "B" Independent.					Group "C" Labour.						Ungrouped Independent										
	Calvert	Griffiths	Jackson	Morrisby	Park	Wright	Total Liberal	Cross	Gray	Pearson	Turnbull	Total Grouped Independent	Brown	Dwyer	Hand	Neilson	Somerville	Worsley	Total Labour.	Martin, H. B.	Martin, L. G.	Total Ungrouped Independent.						
1	733	475	2395	658	1725	5226	11,212	578	2754	2041	519	5832	1443	5423	3249	3171	667	1591	15,844	70	124	194	...	...	33,142	Dwyer & Wright elected.		
2	...	...	4	1	4	...	9	3	6	8	2	19	131	-688	209	181	40	90	651	..	...	..	...	...	9	...	...	Dwyer's surplus distributed T.V. 688/5423.
...	733	475	2399	659	1729	5226	11,221	581	2760	2049	521	5911	1574	4735	3458	3652	707	1681	15,807	70	124	194	...	...	9	...	...	...
3	27	21	175	41	187	-491	451	3	14	9	3	29	1	...	1	...	...	...	2	...	...	...	...	...	9	...	...	Wright's surplus distributed T.V. 491/5226.
...	760	496	2574	700	1916	4735	11,181	584	2774	2058	524	5940	1575	4735	3450	3652	707	1681	15,809	70	124	194	...	...	18	...	...	...
4	2	...	2	...	3	...	7	1	8	2	3	14	2	...	4	6	1	...	13	-70	36	36	...	...	...	...	...	Martin, H. B. excluded.
...	762	496	2576	700	1919	4735	11,188	585	2782	2060	527	5954	1577	4735	3463	3658	708	1681	15,822	...	160	160	...	...	18	...	...	...
5-6	5	...	11	1	12	...	29	15	50	4	11	80	19	...	9	11	1	8	48	...	-160	...	...	3	...	...	...	Martin, L. G. excluded
...	767	496	2587	701	1981	4735	11,217	600	2832	2064	538	6034	1596	4735	3472	3669	709	1689	15,870	...	...	...	...	3	18	...	...	...
7-8	61	-496	191	111	93	...	456	...	10	11	4	25	2	...	...	9	...	1	12	...	...	...	...	3	...	...	...	Griffiths excluded
...	828	...	2778	812	2024	4735	11,177	600	2842	2075	542	6059	1598	4735	3472	3678	709	1690	15,882	...	...	...	...	6	18	...	...	...
9-15	17	...	14	14	33	...	78	31	150	226	-542	406	9	...	10	15	5	12	51	...	...	...	...	3	4	...	...	Turnbull excluded
...	845	...	2792	826	2057	4735	11,255	631	2892	2300	...	5923	1607	4735	3482	3693	714	1702	15,933	...	...	...	...	9	22	...	...	...
16-22	20	...	45	4	14	...	83	-631	377	126	...	53	6	...	17	5	2	9	33	...	...	...	...	7	5	...	...	Cross excluded
...	865	...	2837	890	2071	4735	11,383	...	3369	2426	...	5795	1613	4735	3499	3638	716	1705	15,966	...	...	...	...	16	27	...	...	...
28-28	5	...	1	2	..	...	8	...	13	11	...	24	88	...	226	256	-716	109	679	...	...	...	...	2	3	...	...	Somerville excluded.
...	870	...	2838	892	2071	4735	11,346	...	3382	2437	...	5819	1701	4735	3725	3654	..	1814	15,929	...	...	...	...	18	30	...	...	...
29-38	108	...	361	-832	283	...	692	...	40	59	...	99	...	...	3	11	...	3	17	...	...	...	...	20	4	...	...	Morrisby excluded.
...	978	...	3139	...	2854	4735	11,206	...	3422	2496	...	5918	1701	4735	3728	3965	...	1817	15,946	...	...	...	...	38	31	...	...	...
39-54	-978	...	615	...	211	...	826	...	38	49	...	87	10	...	7	9	...	1	27	...	...	...	...	34	4	...	...	Calvert excluded.
...	...	...	3754	...	2565	4735	11,054	...	3460	2545	...	6005	1711	4735	3735	3974	...	1818	15,973	...	...	...	...	72	38	...	...	...
55-70	...	...	5	...	4	...	9	...	20	13	...	33	-1711	...	1081	392	...	164	1637	...	...	...	...	28	4	...	...	Brown excluded.
...	...	...	3759	...	2566	4735	11,063	...	3480	2558	...	6088	...	4735	4816	4366	...	1982	15,899	...	...	...	...	100	42	...	...	...
71	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	-81	67	...	7	74	...	...	...	...	4	3	...	...	Hand elected. Hand's surplus distributed T.V. 81/658.
...	...	...	3759	...	2569	4735	11,063	...	3480	2558	...	6088	...	4735	4735	4433	...	1989	15,892	...	...	...	...	104	45	...	...	...
72-92	...	...	30	...	27	...	57	...	66	75	...	141	...	...	...	1351	...	-1989	1351	...	...	...	...	437	2	...	...	Worsley excluded.
...	...	...	3789	...	2596	4735	11,120	...	3546	2633	...	6179	...	4735	4735	5784	...	...	15,251	...	...	...	...	541	48	...	...	...
93	...	...	9	...	6	...	15	...	33	24	...	57	...	...	...	-1049	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	975	2	...	...	Neilson elected. Neilson's surplus distributed T.V. 1049/1351
...	...	...	3798	...	2692	4735	11,135	...	3579	2657	...	6296	...	4735	4735	4735	...	...	14,205	...	...	...	...	1516	50	...	...	...
94-127	...	...	1340	...	-2602	...	1340	...	311	230	...	541	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	713	8	...	...	Park excluded.
...	...	...	5138	...	...	4735	9873	...	3890	2587	...	6777	...	4735	4735	4735	...	...	14,205	...	...	...	...	2229	58	...	...	...
128	...	...	-403	...	...	...	...	...	61	47	...	108	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	294	1	...	...	Jackson elected. Jackson's surplus distributed T.V. 403/1340.
...	...	...	4735	...	...	4735	9470	...	3951	2934	...	6885	...	4735	4735	4735	...	...	14,205	...	...	...	...	2523	59	...	...	Gray elected

TABLE 22.—RESULT OF FINAL COUNT—DIVISION OF DARWIN.  
Electors on Roll, 32,416; Valid Votes, 29,272; Quota, 4182; Informal Papers, 1382.

No of Count	CANDIDATES.																				Exhaust.	Loss by Frac-tions.	Total Valid Votes.	Remarks.	
	Group "A" Labour.							Group "B" Liberal.							Group "C" Independent.										
	Aylett.	Bartram.	Bramich.	Purton.	Reece.	Smith.	Total Labour.	Acheson.	Byard.	Chamberlain.	Fidler.	French.	Hilder.	Lyons.	Williams.	Total Liberal.	Batten.	McFie.	Margetts.	Total Indepen-dent.					
1	4018	1488	1784	646	5255	777	13,968	2044	927	3684	2350	505	939	1567	1361	13,377	155	1498	274	1927	...	...	29,272	Reece elected.	
2	431	242	152	66	-1073	89	1060	1	...	2	...	...	...	...	...	6	...	1	...	1	...	6	...	...	Reece's surplus distributed T.V. 1073/5255.
...	4449	1830	1936	712	4182	846	13,955	2045	927	3686	2350	505	939	1570	1361	13,383	155	1499	274	1928	...	6	29,272	Aylett elected.	
...	-267	120	104	20	...	19	263	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	4	...	...	Aylett's surplus distributed T.V. 267/2112.
...	4182	1950	2040	732	4182	865	13,951	2045	927	3686	2350	505	939	1570	1361	13,383	155	1499	274	1928	...	10	29,272	Batten excluded.	
...	...	4	2	2	...	...	8	9	1	8	2	3	1	3	3	30	-155	103	14	117	...	...	...	...	Batten excluded.
5-6	4182	1954	2042	734	4182	865	13,959	2054	928	3694	2352	508	940	1573	1364	13,413	...	1602	288	1890	...	10	29,272	Margetts excluded.	
...	...	1	6	1	...	2	12	12	46	26	14	4	16	16	7	141	...	135	-288	135	...	...	...	...	Margetts excluded.
7-9	4182	1955	2050	735	4182	867	13,971	2066	974	3720	2366	512	956	1599	1371	13,554	...	1737	...	1737	...	10	29,272	French excluded	
...	...	2	2	2	...	...	6	34	41	202	64	-512	37	57	65	500	...	...	...	6	...	...	...	...	French excluded
10-15	4182	1957	2052	737	4182	867	13,977	2100	1015	3922	2430	...	993	1646	1436	13,542	...	1743	...	1743	...	10	29,272	Purton excluded	
...	...	309	252	-737	...	143	704	2	2	4	2	...	1	...	...	11	...	6	...	6	...	12	4	...	Purton excluded
16-20	4182	2266	2304	...	4182	1010	13,944	2102	1017	3926	2432	...	994	1646	1436	13,553	...	1749	...	1749	...	14	29,272	Hilder excluded	
...	...	6	7	...	...	1	14	43	40	152	469	...	-994	193	41	938	...	41	...	41	...	1	...	...	Hilder excluded
21-29	4182	2272	2311	...	4182	1011	13,958	2145	1057	4078	2901	...	...	1839	1477	13,497	...	1790	...	1790	...	13	14	29,272	Smith excluded.
...	...	309	627	...	...	-1011	936	...	2	3	4	...	...	10	4	23	...	3	...	3	...	45	4	...	Smith excluded.
30-38	4182	2581	2938	...	4182	...	13,883	2145	1059	4081	2905	...	...	1849	1481	13,520	...	1793	...	1793	...	58	18	29,272	Byard excluded
...	...	2	10	...	...	...	12	164	-1059	323	265	...	...	120	93	965	...	71	...	71	...	11	...	...	Byard excluded
39	4182	2583	2948	...	4182	...	13,895	2309	...	4404	3170	...	...	1969	1574	13,426	...	1864	...	1864	...	69	18	29,272	Chamberlain elected.
...	...	...	1	...	...	...	1	30	...	-222	130	...	...	22	20	202	...	10	...	10	...	6	3	...	Chamberlain's surplus distributed T.V. 222/323.
40-42	4182	2586	2949	...	4182	...	13,896	2339	...	4182	3300	...	...	1991	1594	13,406	...	1874	...	1874	...	75	21	29,272	Williams excluded.
...	...	3	17	...	...	...	20	236	...	...	543	...	...	618	-1594	1397	...	127	...	127	...	48	2	...	Williams excluded.
53-80	4182	2586	2966	...	4182	...	13,916	2575	...	4182	3843	...	...	2609	...	13,209	...	2001	...	2001	...	123	23	29,272	McFie excluded
...	...	36	48	...	...	...	84	386	...	...	629	...	...	537	...	1552	...	-2001	...	-2001	...	361	4	...	McFie excluded
81	4182	2622	3014	...	4182	...	14,000	2961	...	4182	4472	...	...	3146	...	14,761	...	...	...	...	...	484	27	29,272	Fidler elected.
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	134	...	...	-290	...	...	105	...	239	...	...	...	...	...	48	3	...	Fidler's surplus distributed T.V. 290/629.
82-108	4182	2622	3014	...	4182	...	14,000	3095	...	4182	4182	...	...	3251	...	14,710	...	...	...	...	...	532	30	29,272	Bartram excluded.
...	...	-2622	1400	...	...	...	1400	89	...	...	...	...	...	40	...	129	...	...	...	...	...	1089	4	...	Bartram excluded.
109	4182	...	4414	...	4182	...	12,778	3184	...	4182	4182	...	...	3291	...	14,889	...	...	...	...	...	1621	34	29,272	Bramich elected.
...	...	...	-232	...	...	...	-232	19	...	...	...	...	...	3	...	22	...	...	...	...	...	209	1	...	Bramich's surplus distributed T.V. 232/1400
...	4182	...	4182	...	4182	...	12,546	3203	...	4182	4182	...	...	3294	...	14,861	...	...	...	...	...	1830	35	29,272	Lyons elected.

TABLE 25.—RESULT OF FINAL COUNT—DIVISION OF WILMOT.  
Electors on Roll, 28,896; Valid Votes, 25,861; Quota, 3695; Informal Papers, 877.

No. of Count.	CANDIDATES.																Exhaust.	Loss by Frac-tions.	Total Valid Votes.	Remarks.
	Group "A" Liberal.							Group "B" Labour.							Ungrouped Independent					
	Best	Bethune	Brown	Campbell	Robertson	Wordsworth	Total Liberal	Burnell	Cashion	Fagan	Gregg	Pike	Spurr	Total Labour.	Churchward-Kelly	Total Independent.				
1	1252	1154	607	5748	1343	1736	11,840	1852	1266	5172	602	2229	2232	13,383	638	638	...	...	25,861	Campbell & Fagan elected
2	308	534	167	2053	634	371	2014	1	4	...	3	6	4	18	15	15	...	6	...	Campbell's surplus distributed, T.V. 2053/5748
...	1560	1688	774	3695	1977	2107	11,501	1889	1270	5172	605	2235	2236	13,401	653	653	...	6	25,861	Fagan's surplus distributed, T.V. 1477/5172
3	2	6	...	...	3	4	15	240	266	1477	165	476	305	1452	4	4	...	6	...	
...	1562	1694	774	3695	1980	2111	11,816	2123	1586	3695	770	2711	2541	13,376	657	657	...	12	25,861	Churchward-Kelly excluded
4-6	104	67	30	...	85	138	419	98	23	...	21	89	60	231	657	657	2	5	...	
...	1666	1761	804	3695	2065	2244	12,235	2161	1559	3695	791	2800	2601	13,607	...	...	2	17	25,861	
7-10	...	3	1	...	3	2	9	122	239	...	791	273	142	776	...	...	3	3	...	Gregg excluded
...	1666	1764	805	3695	2068	2216	12,244	2283	1798	3695	...	3073	2743	13,592	...	...	5	20	25,861	
11-15	250	224	805	...	189	119	782	6	4	...	...	5	3	16	...	...	3	2	...	Brown excluded
...	1913	1988	...	3695	2257	2365	12,221	2289	1802	3695	...	3073	2746	13,010	...	...	8	22	25,861	
16-24	1	9	...	...	5	4	19	758	1802	...	...	547	430	1735	...	...	40	8	...	Cashion excluded
...	1917	1997	...	3695	2262	2369	12,240	3047	...	3695	...	3623	3176	13,543	...	...	48	30	25,861	
25-34	1917	1142	...	...	398	281	1821	10	...	...	...	10	8	28	...	...	60	8	...	Best excluded
...	...	3139	...	3695	2660	2650	12,144	3057	...	3695	...	3635	3184	13,571	...	...	108	38	25,861	
35-52	...	799	...	...	1240	2650	2039	50	...	...	...	32	22	104	...	...	501	6	...	Wordsworth excluded
...	...	3938	...	3695	3900	...	11,533	3107	...	3695	...	3667	3206	13,675	...	...	609	44	25,861	Bethune & Robertson elected
53	...	243	...	...	...	...	243	16	...	...	...	11	4	31	...	...	210	2	...	Bethune's surplus distributed, T.V. 243/799
...	...	3695	...	3695	3900	...	11,290	3123	...	3695	...	3678	3210	13,706	...	...	819	46	25,861	Robertson's surplus distributed, T.V. 205/306
54	...	...	...	...	205	...	205	9	...	...	...	4	3	16	...	...	187	2	...	
...	...	3695	...	3695	3695	...	11,085	3132	...	3695	...	3682	3213	13,722	...	...	1006	48	25,861	Pike and Spurr elected

TABLE 21.—RESULT OF FINAL COUNT—DIVISION OF BASS.  
*Electors on Roll, 30,764; Valid Votes, 26,731; Quota, 3819; Informal Papers, 888.*

No. of Count.	CANDIDATES.															Exhaust.	Loss by Frac-tions.	Total Valid Votes.	Remarks.
	Group "A" Labour.							Group "B" Liberal.											
	Atkins	Carter	Howroyd	Hurst	Madden	Turnbull	Total Labour.	Beattie	Breheny	Chapple	Hollingsworth	Marriott	Orchard	Steer	Total Liberal				
1	1682	1625	2286	940	4501	3953	14,987	2074	1068	178	917	3225	2249	2083	11,794	...	...	26,731	Madden & Turnbull elec-ted
2	83	92	353	127	-682	...	655	3	3	...	1	4	5	5	21	...	6	...	Madden's surplus distribu-ted, T.V. 682/4501
...	1715	1717	2639	1067	3819	3953	14,910	2077	1071	178	918	3229	2254	2088	11,815	...	6	26,731	Turnbull's surplus distri-buted, T.V. 134/3953
3	16	18	55	36	...	-184	125	...	...	...	...	1	1	2	4	...	5	...	...
...	1731	1735	2694	1108	3819	3819	14,901	2077	1071	178	918	3230	2255	2090	11,819	...	11	26,731	Chapple excluded
4	...	...	3	1	...	...	4	52	32	-178	20	34	17	19	174	...	...	...	...
...	1731	1735	2697	1104	3819	3819	14,905	2129	1103	...	938	3264	2272	2109	11,815	...	11	26,731	...
5-7	7	3	7	3	...	...	20	164	87	...	-938	336	218	112	917	...	1	...	Hollingsworth excluded
...	1738	1738	2704	1107	3819	3819	14,925	2298	1190	...	...	3600	2490	2221	11,794	...	12	26,731	...
8-12	297	208	559	-1107	...	...	1064	4	2	...	...	5	8	5	24	14	5	...	Hurst excluded
...	2035	1946	3263	...	3819	3819	14,882	2297	1192	...	...	3605	2498	2226	11,818	14	17	26,731	...
13-18	3	1	3	...	...	...	7	314	-1192	...	...	343	207	315	1179	4	2	...	Breheny excluded
...	2038	1947	3266	...	3319	3819	14,839	2611	...	...	...	3948	2705	2541	11,805	18	19	26,731	Marriott elected
19	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	36	...	...	...	-129	37	51	124	3	2	...	Marriott's surplus distri-buted, T.V. 129/343
...	2038	1947	3266	...	3819	3819	14,889	2647	...	...	...	3819	2742	2592	11,800	21	21	26,731	...
20-27	915	-1947	965	...	...	...	1880	7	...	...	...	...	10	10	27	36	4	...	Carter excluded
...	2953	...	4231	...	3819	3819	14,822	2654	...	...	...	3819	2752	2602	11,827	57	25	26,731	Howroyd elected
28	390	...	-412	...	...	...	390	1	...	...	...	...	2	...	3	17	2	...	Howroyd's surplus distri-buted, T.V. 412/965
...	3343	...	3819	...	3819	3819	14,800	2655	...	...	...	3819	2754	2602	11,830	74	27	26,731	Steer excluded
29-36	23	...	...	...	...	...	28	1260	...	...	...	...	1142	-2517	2402	89	3	...	...
...	3365	...	3819	...	3819	3819	14,823	3915	...	...	...	3819	3896	85	11,715	163	30	26,731	Beattie & Orchard elected



TABLE 21.—RESULT OF FINAL COUNT—DIVISION OF BASS.  
*Electors on Roll, 30,764; Valid Votes, 26,731; Quota, 3819; Informal Papers, 888.*

No. of Count.	CANDIDATES.															Exhaust.	Loss by Fractions.	Total Valid Votes.	Remarks.
	Group "A" Labour.							Group "B" Liberal.											
	Atkins	Carter	Howroyd	Hurst	Madden	Turnbull	Total Labour.	Beattie	Breheny	Chapple	Hollingsworth	Marriott	Orchard	Steer	Total Liberal.				
1	1692	1625	2286	940	4501	3953	14,937	2074	1038	178	917	3225	2249	2088	11,794	...	...	26,731	Madden & Turnbull elected
2	83	92	353	127	-682	...	655	3	3	...	1	4	5	5	21	...	6	...	Madden's surplus distributed, T.V. 682/4501
...	1715	1717	2639	1067	3819	3953	14,910	2077	1071	178	918	3229	2254	2088	11,815	...	6	26,731	Turnbull's surplus distributed, T.V. 134/3953
3	16	18	55	36	...	-184	125	...	...	...	...	1	1	2	4	...	5	...	...
...	1731	1735	2694	1103	3819	3819	14,901	2077	1071	178	918	3230	2255	2090	11,819	...	11	26,731	Chapple excluded
4	...	...	3	1	...	...	4	52	32	-178	20	34	17	19	174	...	...	...	...
...	1731	1735	2697	1104	3819	3819	14,905	2129	1103	...	988	3264	2272	2109	11,815	...	11	26,731	...
5-7	7	3	7	3	...	...	20	164	87	...	-988	336	218	112	917	...	1	...	Hollingsworth excluded
...	1738	1738	2704	1107	3819	3819	14,925	2293	1190	...	...	3600	2490	2221	11,794	...	12	26,731	...
8-12	297	208	559	-1107	...	...	1064	4	2	...	...	5	8	5	24	14	5	...	Hurst excluded
...	2035	1946	3263	...	3819	3819	14,882	2297	1192	...	...	3605	2498	2226	11,818	14	17	26,731	...
13-18	3	1	3	...	...	...	7	314	-1192	...	...	343	207	315	1179	4	2	...	Breheny excluded
...	2038	1947	3266	...	3319	3819	14,839	2611	...	...	...	3948	2705	2541	11,805	18	19	26,731	Marriott elected
19	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	36	...	...	...	-129	37	51	124	3	2	...	Marriott's surplus distributed, T.V. 129/343
...	2038	1947	3266	...	3819	3819	14,889	2647	...	...	...	3819	2742	2592	11,800	21	21	26,731	...
20-27	915	-1947	965	...	...	...	1880	7	...	...	...	...	10	10	27	36	4	...	Carter excluded
...	2953	...	4231	...	3819	3819	14,822	2654	...	...	...	3819	2752	2602	11,827	57	25	26,731	Howroyd elected
28	390	...	-412	...	...	...	390	1	...	...	...	...	2	...	3	17	2	...	Howroyd's surplus distributed, T.V. 412/965
...	3343	...	3819	...	3819	3819	14,300	2655	...	...	...	3819	2754	2602	11,830	74	27	26,731	Steer excluded
29-36	23	...	...	...	...	...	23	1260	...	...	...	...	1142	-2517	2402	89	3	...	...
...	3366	...	3819	...	3819	3819	14,823	3915	...	...	...	3819	3896	85	11,715	163	30	26,731	Beattie & Orchard elected